

MINUTES
Regular Meeting of the
BOARD OF DIRECTORS
Corvallis School District 509J

I. CALL TO ORDER AND ROLL CALL

The meeting was called to order at 6:38 p.m. in the Board Room of the Central Administration Building, 1555 SW 35th Street, Corvallis, Oregon. The secretary recorded those present as listed below.

<p><u>BOARD MEMBERS PRESENT</u> Anne Schuster, Chair Lisa Corrigan, Vice Chair Matt Donohue Blake Rodman Greg Perry John Turman</p> <p><u>BOARD MEMBERS EXCUSED</u> Bill Ten Pas</p>	<p><u>EXECUTIVE STAFF PRESENT</u> Dawn Tarzian, Superintendent Jeanne Holmes, Assistant Superintendent Jennifer Duvall, Human Resources Director Kathy Rodeman, Business Director</p>
--	---

A quorum was present and due notice had been published.

II. PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE

Chair Schuster led the group in the pledge of allegiance and invited the audience to introduce themselves.

III. COMMITTEE/BOARD MEMBER ITEMS

Once Upon A Mattress and Corvallis High School Soccer Win – Vice Chair Corrigan noted she and her family attended the Corvallis and Crescent Valley High Schools (CHS and CVHS) play and that it was great fun. She also shared that CHS soccer varsity team won the 5A boys State soccer championship.

509J and City Collaboration Meeting – Vice Chair Corrigan also shared current updates from the recent 509J and City Collaboration meeting.

Jefferson Kindergarten Teacher Meeting – Chair Schuster noted that she, Director Rodman, Superintendent Tarzian and Human Resources Director Jennifer Duvall met with kindergarten teachers at Jefferson Elementary. She shared comments and thoughts from that meeting.

Oregon School Boards Association Leadership Award – Chair Schuster shared that the Corvallis School Board received a leadership award at the recent OSBA convention and presented it to the Board.

International Climate Conference – Chair Schuster said that her high school daughter and twenty-four university students are in Copenhagen for the International Climate Conference.

Jefferson and Adam's Elementary Schools Visits – Chair Schuster noted that she and other Board members recently visited Jefferson and Adam's Elementary Schools and she described the school environments and projects.

IV. STUDENT REPRESENTATIVE REPORTS

No reports were presented.

V. SUPERINTENDENT'S REPORT

Superintendent Tarzian offered her report to the Board.

Corvallis Schools Brochure – Shared that the brochure has been update by Communications Specialist Carol Reeves.

Transfer Update – Gave the Board an update on transfers, noting that there is space at the K-8 schools and that all parents of 5th graders have been notified.

Emergency Incident at Wilson Elementary – Shared information on an emergency situation that took place at Wilson Elementary. She acknowledged the quick thinking and first-aid help of the Wilson staff to aid a fellow staff member during the emergency.

Math Curriculum Adoption Process – Noted that this process is currently underway and a recommendation will come to the Board at the April 5, 2010 Board meeting.

Student Achievements – Shared student achievements in the areas of chess and fiddle playing. She also shared that student Rebecca Lomnicky participated and won first place at a fiddle championship which took place in Scotland.

National Public Radio (NPR) Education Series – Garfield Elementary and Corvallis High School were featured in an NPR story that was aired this morning, regarding closing the achievement gap and the challenges for minority students.

Student Art Work in the Board Room – Highlighted the new art and student work posted in the Board room from Franklin K-8 School.

VI. PUBLIC TESTIMONY – BUDGET CONCERNS/PROPOSALS AND ALL-DAY KINDERGARTEN

No testimony was presented.

VII. PUBLIC TESTIMONY – GENERAL TOPICS

Marsha Lincoln, 3210 NW Norwood Dr., Corvallis. Ms. Lincoln said that on behalf of teachers she asked the Board to endorse a “yes” vote for Measures 66 and 67. She shared her thoughts on the projected impact of the measures failing and that the measures are intended to help school districts stay afloat.

Chair Schuster noted that the Board passed a resolution in October 2009 that supports these measures.

VIII. STAFF TESTIMONY

No testimony was presented.

IX. SPECIAL REPORTS

A. Projected Revenues 2009-10 and 2010-11

Business Director Kathy Rodeman provided the Board with a handout and led a review of the document. (Filed as Supplemental Item # VII-13 in the Official 2009-10 Board Minutes.)

A summary of Ms. Rodeman’s comments:

- We are expecting fewer students next year and larger classes in the upper grades; this means elementary is starting to stabilize. We can expect to reach a plateau soon.
- Page two assumes that Measures 66 and 67 are approved by voters. The District will be down 125 Average Daily Membership/Weighted (ADMw) regardless of what happens, with less funding from the State School Fund (SSF).
- Dependence on the Local Option Levy is growing. There is a risk associated with that; if levy is not renewed and if property values continue to fall it will have significant effect on revenues.
- Have \$105,000 less next year in overall revenues and resources, including \$2.3 million that was under-allocated 2008-09 beginning balance. The finance workgroup is familiar with this information.
- Staff represent the largest cost. This is a break down by employee group by this year and contractual obligations for next year.

- Increase in the General Fund by \$2.2 million for the same level of services and teachers.
- Have asked the Corvallis Education Association (CEA) for help to identify those who are planning to retire next year; knowing that would help determine whether there is funding to make it next year.
- Page five is a way to look at this year's budgets compared to next year's predictions. Smaller enrollment would naturally lead to fewer teachers.
- Noted increase set aside for enrollment fluctuation, early retirement and use fund reserves to offset retirement costs. Propose increase in unappropriated ending fund balance to help to provide stability and cash flow.
- Reminder that unappropriated funds cannot be touched that the same fiscal year they are set aside.
- Total difference is \$1,996,500; can round to \$2 million for discussion.
- Page six reflects a worst case scenario, if Measures 66 and 67 fail.
- Until the Legislature makes a final decision on the state budget there is no guarantee on what the District could lose. It will probably be more than predicted.
- Worst case [loss of revenues] would be about \$7.8 million dollars; offsets that are already in place from last year's smaller revenue forecast [equal] about \$3.4 million which puts Corvallis's worst case at \$4,396,500.

A summary of Board and staff discussion:

- You mentioned property values falling; aren't they assessed far beneath true value? Will we really see a drop in funding? (Rodman)
Response: The Local Option Levy is based on the gap between the real value and assessed value. This year that gap closed. If the market value falls even by 10% that equates to about \$1 million on the levy. (Rodeman)
- We are drawing down contingency and Rainy Day money; was that factored into this [handout] at all? [It appears] the Rainy Day money is already factored into this. There's no way to subtract from this? This is the bottom line? (Rodman)
Response: Yes it was. The Board is not drawing down on the contingency funds; that has been set aside for something like an earthquake or fire, etc. You could chose to use Rainy Day and Local Option money more quickly; I wouldn't recommend that because the problems would still be there the next year. Don't postpone reductions because it will have a more positive, long-term ability to provide services. (Rodeman)
- What is the potential for loss in Measures 66 and 67? What if one passes and the other fails? (Corrigan)
Response: It seems to be about one-third to two-thirds; they are not evenly split. (Rodeman)
- Why don't the page six possible offsets apply to page five? Will our enrollment decrease possibly create the affect that the state says we get less money? (Turman)
Response: Those offsets could possibly apply if Measures 66 and 67 pass. [Less money

from the state] is possible; it is one of the things Business Department staff is working on. We are planning for that kind of adjustment to come in May. (Rodeman)

- What is the best case scenario? (Rodman)
Response: Approximately \$1.9 million if revenues hold, the contingent revenue comes through, and ballot measures pass. (Rodeman)
- When were revenue projections done last at the state level? (Rodman)
Response: In November for December. It is getting closer to \$300 million threshold where it would affect revenue coming to school districts. It will likely go below the \$300 million threshold. (Rodeman)

X. DISCUSSION/ACTION – ALL-DAY KINDERGARTEN

Superintendent Tarzian and Business Director Rodeman presented briefly regarding all-day kindergarten and reviewed a handout the Board received prior to the meeting. (Filed as Supplemental Item #VII-14 in the Official 2009-10 Board Minutes.)

A summary of Superintendent Tarzian and Ms. Rodeman’s comments:

- Community members, Board members, and District staff have been working on different scenarios and options to see if there is an alternative to the superintendent’s November 2, 2009 recommendation
- Led a review of the three options being considered and looked at the pros and cons.
- Kindergarteners are funded at 0.5 time. Enrichment is an unfunded program and the state has put limitation on all-day kindergarten.
- The two Title I schools have determined that it will be offered as part of program at school 2010-11; they’ve made a commitment to provide for next year.
- Title I schools have must annual conversations regarding programs
- The District has 178 students at half-day and approximately 240 attend full-day or enrichment program.
- For parents seeking a full-day program or childcare because of work [constraints] Option One may cause families to seek a private program.
- Shared tuition scenarios under Option Three.
- Cautioned Board that terminology used in Option Three of “might cost” should be read as “most likely”.
- Private schools are not limited to having instruction only in the morning; the District may appear to charge high tuition but we are also limited on instruction time.
- We will have increasing number of families qualify for scholarships.
- A lot of time was spent on this issue and want to thank the Board and some community members working on different tuition amount options.
- Reviewed Kindergarten Estimates information in the handout.
- Noted that current tuition billed to parents is \$355 but the true cost is \$476.

- The Corvallis Public Schools Foundation (CPSF) is subsidizing \$50,000 and District is covering \$21,000; if that didn't happen it would cost parents \$476 per month [right now].
- There is a risk to the General Fund operating at this level; you can raise tuition, but there is risk.
- The Board won't have information available up front in the budget process to set aside money for scholarships.

A summary of Board and staff discussion:

- What comprises the bad debt [in the kindergarten estimates document]? Uncollected tuition and automated clearing house (ACH) billing? (Corrigan)
Response: It is both. (Superintendent Tarzian)
- If all things remain equal for this year, if we had another CPSF subsidy and the same \$21,000 from the District would there be a possible reduction to the \$551 tuition predicted in 2010-11? (Donohue)
Response: Yes, but it is not likely. (Rodeman)
- It is a tough call for people to choose how to spend their limited paychecks. If both parents are working they are may be used to having exorbitant childcare costs, but if compare the District to private schools it may not look like a bargain. We may lose people that way. (Rodeman)
- Title I schools are taking a big hit with end of stimulus funds. The enrichment program has high value. Title I funds must be used to provide services 'over-and-above' what is provided at other schools. They have made a commitment to offer this next year; it is important for you to see the differences. (Superintendent Tarzian)

A summary of Director Turman's comments:

- I would keep this program going if Measures 66 and 67 pass.
- There is much that comes into play looking at budget discussion.
- Think the Board can put sidelines on program; take it year by year, everyone would know if one [qualification] was triggered.
- There are a lot of equity issues both ways; the equity thing is multi-faceted.

A summary of Vice Chair Corrigan's comments:

- Have put a lot of thought into this.
- It is wonderful we've had this choice for 15 years.
- The ideal solution is to have state-funded, all-day with option for half-day.
- Recognize all the programs offer educational, enriching experience for kindergarteners. A District, certified teacher is best place for kids to be.

- My concern is not about program quality, but funding and financial risk in these uncertain times. We have been through the kindergarten fees before.
- My worry is financial exposure. At what point are you going to have committed to teachers and then have X % of families pull out?
- Shared personal experience with kindergarten.
- Other concern is family changing financial status mid-year. There is an equity/elitist feeling we may be able to offer to some schools and not others.
- Concerned with the legal fine line we seem to be walking. To take private money for public education is illegal.
- Supporting the superintendent's recommendation; ask that staff closely watch transfers and line up with a lot of community partners. This is hard and said with a heavy heart.

A summary of Director Perry's comments:

- Have put a lot of thought into this as well. I see the same things that have been discussed; it becomes matter of how you weight them.
- Want to keep as many kids in the District in possible and keep as many Free and Reduced Lunch [qualified children] in all-day kindergarten as want to be there.
- Want to follow precedent to not spend money on this and have it pay its own way as it has in past.
- When we make this decision we need to make it final, not revisit it, because it will be disruptive to undo it.
- Mentioned concerns with relation to Garfield and Lincoln.
- Parents and community have been getting pretty good deal with tuition.
- How many people are using enrichment for daycare vs. education opportunities? Important question to answer. Some parents saying they are willing to pay more, but some will drop out as tuition goes up.
- Right now we have only a vague idea of what to do if we don't have all-day kindergarten.
- I'd like to see where Measures 66 and 67 come out before making a final decision. If they fail we can't justify this program. If they pass I'd like to try this one more year with higher tuition.
- If we can't make it balance with much higher tuition we'll have to come up with other way to do this.
- Because of the finality of this reluctant to just shut it down at this point. Want to save it for one more year.

A summary of Director Donohue's comments:

- Have looked at numbers and research.
- We're going to dance around federal and state laws. If you put children in morning classes and they spend time in enrichment in afternoon, there is value there. That is something that above what they're going to get [at childcare].
- Going from daycare to kindergarten would be a reduction in cost.
- See costs for parents any direction we take.

- Agree with Director Perry. When something is eliminated a lot of energy must be generated to bring it back.
- The community sees value in this.
- I agree this is not sustainable. We have to generate additional funds or raise tuition.
- We have the Option Levy renewal coming up. We have a demonstrated commitment to K-2 in this district.
- Personally, I would like to try to continue, to build and publically advertise the necessary funding into the next Option Levy to provide the scholarships necessary to maintain 2008-09 tuition rates.
- If we were not this close to the Option Levy renewal I would say close it, but I am not ready at this point to do that, with the potential in the levy.
- If we can get a subsidy from the Foundation and raise tuition want to maintain enrichment for another year.
- Understand the concern with telling teachers there is the possibility of full-day employment and then coming back in May and scaling back.
- If Measures 66 and 67 pass and we can keep tuition rate \$450 to \$500, with understanding that we would move toward to reducing that through the Option Levy, want to keep the enrichment program.
- Doesn't sound like we're going to have a decision tonight.

A summary of Director Rodman's comments:

- Don't disagree with anything that's been said about value about program; had three kids go through it.
- Been on the Board five years and have never heard Ms. Rodeman recommend no new programs or District spending.
- This is going to be new District spending, even with the tuition option. Fearful that by raising tuition to levels we'll cut people out in the middle and will leave us with the bill in the end. If we don't negotiate other educational types of enrichment in the afternoon we're going to leave a lot of people high and dry.
- I keep looking at the bottom line. We're going to be cutting this year to balance the budget, even with best case scenario. I don't think you can look at this as anything other than additional spending.
- Incredibly thankful for additional funding from CPSF. They are still raising money to pay for it *this* year. They have an independent board. Don't think there is money for another subsidy from them.
- We've never spent District money on the enrichment program so we've never had the discussion at Board level whether full-day kindergarten is something this District wants to fund beyond all other opportunities that have value. Other things have value to fund too; examples are art, physical education, and music.
- Enrichment certainly has value. I don't think we should just approve it without looking at what we may not be funding instead.
- If we truly are thinking about spending new District money on this, we need to look at it in a fresh light for other opportunities for spending money as well, which means bringing

it to budget committee.

- It worries me to put off this decision, because it was brought outside the budget process to give families and staff options and to give the District time to negotiation with community partners. If we put this decision off none of that will happen. I'm in favor of voting tonight.

A summary of Board and staff questions and discussion:

- We have heard that Lincoln and Garfield will continue full-day kindergarten. Wilson and Mt. View Elementary are target-assisted Title I schools. Do they have the option of spending some of the Title I funds [on full-day kindergarten]? (Schuster)
Response: They do not have the option of pooling District funds and Title Funds. The Title funds have to be directed toward targeted kids and those schools don't receive the resources the full-school Title I schools do. (Superintendent Tarzian)
- Wilson has forty minutes of targeted instruction. Would you hold most Free and Reduced Lunch (FR Lunch) eligible children if they needed that intervention? (Schuster)
Response: Wilson assesses children who are most in need of intervention. FR Lunch students are not necessarily those served with [Title I] funds. The ones with the greatest need are targeted; only a small percentage of kindergarten students are in that group. Targeted instruction would not have anything to do with their income level. (Wilson Elementary Principal, Jeff Brew.)
- The target-assist Title I schools target K-5 kids with the most critical need. They do not jump in at each grade level to identify each child who needs intervention. Kids with the most need are identified. They cannot take every kindergartener who has need for intervention and have them join the [targeted instruction] group. Assessment must be done school wide. (Superintendent Tarzian)
- It is worth saying that Wilson Elementary is going through the process to try and become a school-wide Title I school. That would change the scenario. (Brew)
- Mt. View Elementary has also tried to come up with creative ideas this year to use funds to extend kindergarten legally, but have not been able to. (Superintendent Tarzian)
- If Wilson became a school-wide Title I school that does not necessarily mean there is more funding for all-day kindergarten. I would be remiss not to look at class size and other needs. It is not a trade-off. (Brew)
Response: That is the point I was trying to make. There may be other things of equal or greater value [to fund at each school]. (Rodman)
- A priority in the Community Visioning Process (CVP) was reduction of class size, and we have broad needs among students, including Talented and Gifted (TAG) kids and an achievement gap with kids at the other end. There is much outlined in the CVP for which the state does not reimburse us. Oregon certifies elementary teachers to teach all subjects

so when we bring specialists it is an add-on. The Board carries the burden of pulling this issue out or leaving it in the budget process. If you are not prepared to make a decision I would say to make the decision in the context of the budget process. (Superintendent Tarzian)

- Our options will be so much less if we wait till that time for next year. (Rodman)
- We're talking about setting up a [childcare] program that would be put into place if we don't have half-day enrichment. No need to make decisions tonight to put those things in place and it would mitigate unpreparedness issues and then let us decide during the budget process. My decision tonight would move this to the budget process and make a contingency [childcare] plan. We should take this to the community in a new Option Levy so they see that we are being consistent with the last Option Levy mission. I don't think this is new or additional. (Donohue)
- This is not a program we can provide every student. It is what makes this particularly difficult for advocating for funding for it when we don't have room for everyone. (Superintendent Tarzian)
- My understanding is there is current free busing home at the half-day, from school to home or school to off-site programs like the Boys and Girls Club. (Corrigan)
Response: Within a mile radius children walk, outside a mile radius they ride transportation. (Rodman)
No matter what we do end up doing we will have mid-day transportation. (Superintendent Tarzian)
- It is only in the last few days my thinking has begun to change. By giving [this program] a possible lease on life we would also have to be clear on the parameters, for example if certain specific conditions are not met we end the program. (Turman)
- If we "test the waters" with higher tuition we will cut out families in the middle who will not be able to afford tuition and won't have on-site childcare options. By acting now we would ensure we have good options that we can provide for all. (Rodman)
- I agree with Director Rodman, but the replacement options are unknown and that it causes trepidation because enrichment is so successful. You'll have out of boundary kids who could pay who aren't because of lottery. (Turman)
- This is a \$100,000 that we don't have; we're already cutting millions; where is the \$100,000 to be found? (Rodman)
- If we go with day care where there is not even enough classroom space some families will end up with no options at all. (Donohue)

- There are two barriers with tuition-increased option—tuition cost and space— and only one with daycare— space. We are a public educational institution; that should guide our thinking for access to the program. To have to stop, come get a child and take them to another childcare provider would be difficult. If we can create an affordable way for kids to stay at their school building it also takes care of transportation cost. I am thinking from public education mindset. Childcare options have not been spelled out because staff did not want to move forward until the Board makes a decision. (Superintendent Tarzian)
- Concerned about the budget. Even if Measures 66 and 67 pass it only keeps us at the status quo. My recommendation is to learn from the New Day for Learning program model and embrace it. This community has amazing opportunities and we should take advantage of those and convene a task force of folks who are interested in an all-day program. The Boys and Girls Club option is a baseline, because there's lots of opportunity for building on that. Those costs are very affordable. (Schuster)
- Why not find these options in addition [to kindergarten enrichment] and make them available? This is a complex issue because of the absence of concrete numbers. If we make a decision tonight it will be on what we believe can or can't be funded. We should move this to the budget committee and put alternative options in place if the budget committee says we cannot do this. Work on the childcare option as if it was going forward. (Donohue)

MOTION #11: It was motioned by Director Rodman and seconded by Vice Chair Corrigan to approve the Superintendent's November 2, 2009 recommendation that the Board not pick up the cost of the enrichment program at this time, that they direct the District to discontinue the tuition-based program starting in the fall of 2010, and requiring that the District invest considerable effort in working to address childcare needs.

The motion was voted on, with Chair Schuster, Vice Chair Corrigan and Director Rodman voting in favor. Director Perry, Director Donohue and Director Turman voted in opposition.

The motion failed due to insufficient votes.

MOTION #12: It was moved by Director Rodman and seconded by Vice Chair Corrigan to reconsider the kindergarten enrichment and all-day kindergarten programs issue at the February 8, 2010 Board meeting.

The motion was voted on and passed unanimously.

The Board asked that an alternative to the enrichment program be fleshed out by staff before the February 8, 2010 Board meeting. A revenue update from the State regarding State and District funds was also requested.

Ms. Rodman informed the Board that the 2011-12 biennium is very grim and the passage of Measures 66 and 67 will not ensure that all will be okay.

XI. CONSOLIDATED ACTION

Director Rodman asked that contracts from the Linn Benton Lincoln Educational Services District (LBL ESD) be provided to the Board before the contract start date. Superintendent Tarzian noted that District staff is working with ESD staff to ensure timely contracts, but reminded the Board that when they adopt the Resolutions Services Plan for the ESD the contracts are embedded in the services plan.

MOTION #13: It was moved by Director Rodman and seconded by Director Turman to approve consolidated action.

The motion was voted on and passed unanimously.

A. APPROVAL OF MINUTES

The October 5, November 2, 2009 Board minutes were approved as submitted. The November 16, 2009 Board minutes were approved with a minor change requested by Vice Chair Corrigan.

B. SUPPORT SERVICES ITEMS

1. LICENSED PERSONNEL RECOMMENDATIONS

The Board approved the following recommendations:

Recommendation to Hire:

- Karen Luchessa: Biology Teacher / Retiree, 0.50 FTE, Corvallis High School; effective December 1, 2009 (Temporary).
- Barbara Popoff: Counselor / Retiree, 1.0 FTE, Crescent Valley High School; effective December 1, 2009 (Temporary).
- Sheila Shafer: Language Arts Teacher / Retiree, 1.0 FTE, Corvallis High School; effective December 1, 2009 (Temporary).

Termination/Resignation/Layoff:

- Karen Luchessa: Biology Teacher, 0.50 FTE, Corvallis High School; effective November 30, 2009 (Retirement).
- Barbara Popoff: Counselor, 1.0 FTE, Crescent Valley High School; effective November 30, 2009 (Retirement).
- Sheila Shafer: Language Arts Teacher, 1.0 FTE, Corvallis High School; effective November 30, 2009 (Retirement).

2. OSBA ELECTIONS AND RESOLUTIONS

The Board approved the votes which Chair Schuster intends to cast on behalf of the Corvallis School Board for the OSBA (Oregon School Boards Association) elections and resolutions. (Filed as Supplemental Item #IV-2 in the Official 2009-10 Board Minutes.)

3. WAIVER OF FISCAL POLICY LIMITING CASH CARRYOVERS BY SCHOOLS AND DEPARTMENTS

The Board approved the exception to Board Policy DA— Fiscal Policies that would allow carryover of 100% of any unused General Fund for 2010-11 with provisions. (Filed as Supplemental Item #X-5 in the Official 2009-10 Board Minutes.)

4. AWARD OF STUDENT TRANSPORTATION CONTRACT

The Board authorized District staff to enter into contract with First Student, Inc. for student transportation services. (Filed as Supplemental Item #I-21A in the Official 2009-10 Board Minutes.)

5. AGREEMENT WITH BENTON COUNTY FOR THE RECONNECTING YOUTH PROGRAM AT CORVALLIS HIGH SCHOOL

The Board approved this agreement. (Filed as Supplemental Item #I-19 in the Official 2009-10 Board Minutes.)

6. AGREEMENT WITH LINN BENTON LINCOLN EDUCATION SERVICE DISTRICT TO PROVIDE PARAPROFESSIONAL SUPPORT TO CORVALLIS ONLINE AND EXTENDED LEARNING COURSES

The Board approved this agreement. (Filed as Supplemental Item #I-20 in the Official 2009-10 Board Minutes.)

7. AGREEMENT WITH LINN BENTON LINCOLN EDUCATION SERVICE DISTRICT FOR A PROGRAMMER ANALYST

The Board approved this agreement. (Filed as Supplemental Item #I-21 in the Official 2009-10 Board Minutes.)

8. ORGANIZATIONAL MOTION UPDATES FOR 2009-10

The Board approved the following organization motion updates, effective December 19, 2009:

a. DESIGNATION OF DEPOSITORY OF DISTRICT FUNDS

The Board approved Bank of America as the designated depository for the 2009-10 fiscal year and extend the contract through June 30, 2012.

b. APPOINTED OFFICIALS

The Board approved the following appointment updates of District officials for Corvallis School District 509J for the 2009-2010 fiscal year, effective December 19, 2009.

Clerk:	Dawn Tarzian, Superintendent	(ORS 332.515)
Deputy Clerk:	Roy Burling, Business Services Director	(ORS 332.515)
Custodian of Funds:	Roy Burling, Business Services Director	(ORS 328.441)
Budget Officer:	Roy Burling, Business Services Director	(ORS 294.331)

9. RESOLUTION NO. 09-1201 – AUTHORIZING FACSIMILE SIGNATURES FOR DISTRICT CHECKING ACCOUNTS

The Board adopted Resolution No. 09-1201 Authorizing Facsimile Signatures for District Checking Accounts for fiscal year 2009-2010 effective December 19, 2009. (Filed as Supplemental Item #III-3 in the Official 2009-10 Board Minutes.)

10. RESOLUTION NO. 09-1202 – APPOINTMENT OF STUDENT BODY FUND CHECKING ACCOUNTS

The Board adopted Resolution No. 09-1202 – Appointment of Student Body Fund Checking Accounts. (Filed as Supplemental Item #III-4 in the Official 2009-10 Board Minutes.)

XII. CONSOLIDATED INFORMATION**A. CORRESPONDENCE**

(Filed in Section V of the Official 2009-10 Board Minutes.)

B. SUPPORT SERVICES ITEMS**1. NON-LICENSED PERSONNEL INFORMATION**Recommendation to Hire:

- Karen Albrich: Educational Assistant 2, 8.0 hours, Mountain View Elementary School; effective December 1, 2009 (Temporary).
- Roy Burling: Business Services Director, 8.0 hours, District Office; effective December 14, 2009 (Regular).
- Edwin Chavez: Maintenance 2 - HVAC, 8.0 hours, District Office; effective November 18, 2009 (Regular).
- Kelly Clement: Educational Assistant 2 – LRC, 5.5 hours, Lincoln School; effective November 23, 2009 (Regular).
- Rhonda Greer: Educational Assistant 2 – LRC, 2.5 hours, Harding Center; effective November 9, 2009 (Limited Term).
- Curtis Matson: Maintenance I - Custodian, 8.0 hours, Crescent Valley High School; effective November 9, 2009 (Regular).
- Geri Tanis: Food Service Assistant, 3.0 hours, Philomath Elementary School, 0.75 hours, Clemens Primary School; effective November 9, 2009 (Regular).
- Christie Walsh: Food Service Specialist, 7.25 hours, Lincoln School; effective November 9, 2009 (Regular). Kelly Frisk: Educational Assistant 2, 3.0 hours, Mountain View Elementary School; effective November 30, 2009 (Limited Term).
- Vanessa Robinson: Educational Assistant 2, 3.0 hours, Linus Pauling Middle School; effective November 30, 2009 (Limited Term).
- Mary Severs: Educational Assistant 2, 1.25 hours, Educational Assistant 1, 1.75 hours, Mountain View Elementary School; effective December 3, 2009 (Limited Term).

Termination/Resignation/Layoff:

- Karen Albrich: Educational Assistant 2, 8.0 hours, Mountain View Elementary School; effective November 30, 2009 (Retirement).
- Rachelle Perez: Educational Assistant 2, 3.5 hours, Educational Assistant 1, 1.5 hours, Lincoln School; effective November 25, 2009 (Resignation).

Superintendent's non-licensed-Head Coach, Assistant Coach/Club Advisor recommendationsHead Coaches:

- Scott Dorn: Head Wrestling Coach, Crescent Valley High School; New Hire.
- Greg Garrison: Head Boys Basketball Coach, Corvallis High School; Re-Hire.
- Nathan Harris: Head Wrestling Coach, Corvallis High School; Re-Hire.
- Mark James: Head Girls Basketball Coach, Corvallis High School; New Hire.
- Cooper Raasch: Head Ski Coach, Crescent Valley High School; Re-Hire.

- Mike Stair: Head Boys Basketball Coach, Crescent Valley High School; Re-Hire.
- Judy Storie: Head Swimming Coach, Corvallis High School; Re-Hire.
- Rex Watkins: Head Swimming Coach, Crescent Valley High School; Re-Hire.

Assistant Coaches/Club Advisors:

- John Arzner: Assistant Swimming Coach, Corvallis High School; New Hire.
- Courtney Campbell: Assistant Girls Basketball Coach, Corvallis High School; New Hire.
- Tom Heath: Assistant Boys Basketball Coach, Corvallis High School; Re-Hire.
- Matthew Hein: Assistant Boys Basketball Coach, Crescent Valley High School; Re-Hire.
- Shayna Jarvis: Assistant Girls Basketball Coach, Crescent Valley High School; New Hire.
- Mara McPartland: Assistant Girls Basketball Coach, Corvallis High School; New Hire.
- John Mickenham: Game Management, Corvallis High School; Re-Hire.
- Chad Morrow: Assistant Boys Basketball Coach, Corvallis High School; Re-Hire.
- Jeff Schmidt: Assistant Girls Basketball Coach, Corvallis High School; New Hire.
- Kevin Skillings: Assistant Wrestling Coach, Corvallis High School; Re-Hire.
- Vicki Steele: Assistant Girls Basketball Coach, Crescent Valley High School; Re-Hire.
- Riley Tillery: Assistant Swimming Coach, Crescent Valley High School; Re-Hire.
- Casey Williams: Assistant Boys Basketball Coach, Corvallis High School; Re-Hire.
- Victor Zgorzelski: Assistant Boys Basketball Coach, Crescent Valley High School; Re-Hire.

2. ACCOUNTS PAYABLE CHECK SUMMARY

SCHOOL YEAR 2009-2010			
DATE	DESCRIPTION	CHECKS WRITTEN	TOTAL AMOUNT
10/26/09	Quick Pay	1	\$ 2,700.00
10/30/09	Ap Check Run	269	\$ 641,812.12
10/30/09	Payroll Liability	24	\$ 137,623.33
11/03/09	Quick Pay	5	\$ 1,282.00
11/04/09	Quick Pay	3	\$ 531.00
11/09/09	Quick Pay	1	\$ 252.00
11/12/09	Quick Pay	4	\$ 740.50
11/16/09	Bond Check Run	2	\$ 11,021.00
11/16/09	Ap Check Run	268	\$ 606,774.22
11/16/09	Quick Pay	1	\$ 252.00
11/17/09	Ap Check Run	15	\$ 53,064.52
11/20/09	Quick Pay	1	\$ 164.00
Totals	Checks Written:	594	\$ 1,456,216.69

3. UNAUDITED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS – SEPTEMBER 30, 2009 AND OCTOBER 31, 2009

The Board received the unaudited statements of revenues, expenditures, and fund balances for the various funds of the District for the periods ending September 30, 2009 and October 31, 2009. (Filed as Supplemental Item #X-6 in the Official 2009-10 Board Minutes.)

4. COMPREHENSIVE ANNUAL FINANCIAL REPORT – YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2009

The Board received the Comprehensive Annual Financial Report for the Year Ended June 30, 2009. (Filed as Supplemental Item #X-7 in the Official 2009-10 Board Minutes.)

5. BOARD AND SUPERINTENDENT WORKING AGREEMENTS 2009-10

The Board received these revised working agreements for review.

6. STRATEGIC WORK PLAN

The Board received the Strategic Work Plan for review.

XIII. OTHER DISTRICT BUSINESS

A. Board Norms

Director Donohue requested tabling of this item for discussion at a later date. Director Rodman asked that a more refined version of the Board Norms be provided to the Board.

IV. ADJOURNMENT

There being no further business before the Board, Chair Schuster adjourned the meeting at 9:10 p.m.

Anne Schuster, Chair

Dawn Tarzian, Superintendent

Prepared By: Kaela Schamp

S:\DO\Super\Julie\BOARD\MINUTES\2009\12-07-09 minutes.doc